MISCELLANEOUS NOTES

References.

EASA, P.S. & C.P. Shaл (1997): Freshwater fish diversity in Kerala part of Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve. *Curr. Sci. 73(2)*: 180-182.

Hora, S.L. & N.C. Law (1941): The freshwater fish of Travancore. *Rec. Indian Mus. XLIII*: 387-393.

Rajan, S. (1955): Notes on a collection of fish from the headwaters of the Bhavani river, South India. J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 53: 45-48.

Remadevi, K. & T.J. Indra (1986): Fishes of Silent Valley. *Rec. Zool. Surv. India.* 84(1-4): 243-257.

SILAS, E.G. (1951a): On a collection of fishes from

Anamalai and Nelliampathi hill ranges (Western Ghats) with notes on its Zoogeographical significances. J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 49: 670-681.

SILAS, E.G. (1951b): On a new Cyprinid fish from Coorg, South India. J. Zool. Soc. India. 3(1): 7-10.

SILAS, E.G. (1952): Fishes from the high range of Travancore. J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 50: 323-330.

Talwar, P.K. & A.G. Jhingran (1991): Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi.

24. FIRST REPORT OF *BARILIUS BENDELISIS* (HAM.-BUCH.) FROM A WEST FLOWING RIVER CHALAKUDY IN KERALA

Barilius bendelisis (Ham.-Buch.) is one of the principal hillstream fishes in the rivers of Jammu. It is characterised by eight to twelve dark bands descending towards the lateral line which become indistinct as spots in the adult, and lateral line scales with two black spots at their base. It was reported throughout India except Kerala (Talwar and Jhingran 1991). It was reported from Periyar lake, Thekkady by Chacko (1948), but later Jayaram (1981) and Talwar and Jhingran (1991) deemed the reports as erroneous. Rajan (1955) reported it from the Bhavani river, South India, but the collection site was not mentioned. Bhavani river (a tributary of Cauvery) is one of the main east flowing rivers in Kerala.

Easa and Shaji (1995) have reported this species from the Pambar river, Chinnar Wildlife Sanctuary, Kerala. This report confirmed the occurrence of this species in Kerala and was also its first report in Kerala. Hitherto, this species was reported only from Bhavani and Pambar rivers, in Kerala. Both these rivers are east flowing. So far this species has not been reported from the west flowing rivers in Kerala.

We record here this species from Tekkadiar, tributary of Chalakudy river, at 540

m above msl in Parambikulam Wildlife Sanctuary. Chalakudy river is a west flowing river originating from the Anaimalai hill ranges. This species occurs in smaller numbers, compared to the related common species *Barilius gatensis* (Val.) in that locality. The temperature of the water was 24.8°C and the dissolved oxygen value was 8.2 ppm.

In Kerala, *Barilius bendelisis* (Ham.-Buch.) has not been reported from west flowing rivers. Thus, the present report of the species is the first report from a west flowing river in Kerala State.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Dr. K. Remadevi, Scientist, ZSI, Southern Regional Station, Chennai, for confirming the identification of our specimens.

December 12, 1997 RAJU THOMAS, K.
BIJU, C.R.
AJITH KUMAR, C.R.

Bombay Natural History Society, Hornbill House, Dr. Sálim Ali Chowk, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Road, Mumbai 400 023.

REFERENCES

CHACKO, P.L. (1948): Development of fisheries of the Periyar lake J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 48: 191-192.

EASA, P.S. & C.P. Shaл (1993): Fresh water fishes of Pambar river, Chinnar Wildlife Sanctuary, Kerala J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 93: 304-306.

Hora, S.L. & N.C. Law (1941): The fresh water fish of Travancore. *Rec. Indian Mus.* XLIII: 387-393.

HORA, S.L. & K.K. NAIR (1941): New records fresh water fishes from Travancore. *ibid.* 43: 387-393.

JOHN, C.C. (1936): Fishes of Travancore. J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 38: 702-733.

PILLAY, R.S.N. (1929): A list of fishes from Travancore.

ibid. 33: 347-379.

RAJAN, S. (1955): Notes on a collection of fish from the headwaters of the Bhavani river, South India. *ibid.* 53: 45-48.

SILAS, E.G. (1951a): On a collection of fishes from Anamalai and Nelliampathi hill ranges (Western Ghats) with notes on its zoogeographical significance. *ibid.* 49: 670-681.

SILAS, E.G. (1951b): Fishes from the high range of Travancore. *ibid.* 50: 323-330.

Talwar, P.K. & A.G. Jhingran (1991): Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi.

25. NEW RECORD OF A RARF LOACH NOEMACHEILUS MONILIS FROM ANAIMALAI HILLS, WESTERN GHATS, TAMIL NADU

Noemacheilus monilis was originally described by Hora in 1921, based on two specimens collected from Bhavani river, Mettupalayam, Nilgiris. It has subsequently been reported only once from the same locality by Rajan (1955) who found only two specimens. Rajan (1965) also studied its ecology and food habits. The fish were found to feed on ephemeropteran and dipteran larvae, besides coleopterans and hemipterans. Jayaram et al. (1982) in their fish fauna of the Cauvery system listed this species only on the basis of earlier records. Menon (1987), in his revision of Noemacheilus, based his description of N. monilis on the two type specimens only. The extensive faunal collections from the Nilgiris, made by the Zoological Survey of India (ZSI) have no representatives of this species. However, Easa and Shaji (1997) reported its presence in abundance in part of the Nilgiri Biosphere Reserve in Kerala. All the reports so far have been from areas of the Nilgiris north of the Palghat Gap in the Western Ghats.

During a recent survey of the Indira Gandhi Wildlife Sanctuary by the ZSI, the third author collected this species from the Chinnar, a small rivulet flowing eastwards and draining into the Cauvery system. This is the first record of this species south of the Palghat Gap in the Anaimalai Hills.

Description: 1 ex., 39.0 mm SL, Reg. No. F. 5218, ZSI/SRS, Chinnar River, Anaimalai Hills, 7.viii.1997, Coll. M.B. Raghuanathan.

D. 3/8; P. 1/9 & 1/10; V. 8; A. 3/5; C. 19; L. 1. complete.

The morphometric details of the specimen are as follows (in mm): Total length 49.0, standard length 39.0, head length 9.8, body depth 5.6, length of snout 4.0, eye diameter 2.0, interorbital width 2.8, length of barbels: inner rostral 3.6, outer rostral 4.5, maxillary 3.2, predorsal distance 20.2, post-dorsal distance 19.3, distance from pectoral fin base to pelvic fin base 11.3, from pelvic fin to anal fin 10.2, from anus to anal fin 1.9, length of caudal peduncle 5.3, height of caudal peduncle 4.5, height of dorsal fin 6.4, length of pectoral fin 7.4, length of pelvic fin. 6.2, length of anal fin 5.6.

In all the biometric characters, body proportions and colour pattern, the specimen agrees with the description given by Menon (1987). The only difference observed, also mentioned by Rajan (1955), is the presence of 8 branched rays in the dorsal fin.